

Evolution of convex hypersurfaces by powers of the mean curvature

Felix Schulze*

Abstract

We study the evolution of a closed, convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} in direction of its normal vector, where the speed equals a positive power k of the mean curvature. We show that the flow exists on a maximal, finite time interval, and that, approaching the final time, the surfaces contract to a point.

1 Introduction

In this paper we investigate the following problem. Let M^n be a smooth, compact manifold without boundary, and $F_0 : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a smooth immersion which is convex. We look for a smooth family of immersions $F(\cdot, t) : M^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which satisfies

$$(\star) \quad \begin{cases} F(\cdot, 0) = F_0(\cdot) \\ \frac{dF}{dt}(\cdot, t) = -H^k(\cdot, t)\nu(\cdot, t) . \end{cases}$$

where $k > 0$, H is the mean curvature and ν is the outer unit normal, such that $-H\nu = \vec{H}$ is the mean curvature vector. Throughout the paper we will call such a flow an H^k -flow.

For $k = 1$ this flow coincides with the well-known mean curvature flow. In [6], Huisken proved that for this flow the surfaces stay convex and contract to a point in finite time. Furthermore, the surfaces become more and more spherical in the process. This behavior has been shown to be true in many cases where convex surfaces flow by speeds equal to a homogeneous degree one function of the principal curvatures. The evolution by the n th root of the Gauss curvature was treated by Chow in [3] and the evolution by the square root of the scalar curvature in [4].

*Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland. Email: felix@math.ethz.ch . The author was partially supported by a Schweizerische Nationalfonds grant No. 21-66743.01

Andrews [1] showed that this behavior extends to a whole class of such speeds.

If one considers flows for which the speed has an arbitrary positive degree of homogeneity, far less is known about the properties of the flow and the limiting shape of the surface. For a speed equal to a positive power α of the Gauss curvature, Tso [11] and Chow [3] have shown that the surfaces contract to points in finite time. Andrews [2] was able to strengthen this result, showing that for $\alpha \in (1/(n+2), 1/n)$ the ratio of the biggest to the smallest principal curvature remains bounded and the surfaces converge after blow-up to a smooth limiting surface.

In [13], Urbas studied the expansion of convex hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of the principal curvatures. This was extended independently by Gerhardt [5] and Urbas [12] to the case of star-shaped surfaces. In both cases the speed was assumed to be homogeneous of degree -1 and the surfaces were shown to converge, under appropriate rescaling, to a sphere.

Investigating our initial value problem (\star) , we will have to make a further assumption to ensure short-time existence. We require that $H(F_0(p)) > 0$, $\forall p \in M^n$, otherwise we cannot guarantee uniform parabolicity. In the following, "weakly convex", and "strictly convex", resp., shall be defined as all the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form of the surface being non-negative, and positive, resp. We obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $F_0 : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth immersion, where $H(F_0(M^n)) > 0$. Then there exists a unique, smooth solution to the initial value problem (\star) on a maximal, finite time interval $[0, T)$. For $k \geq 1$ we have the bound $T \geq C(k, n)^{-1} (\max_{p \in M} |A|(p, 0))^{-(k+1)}$. In the case that*

i) $F_0(M^n)$ is strictly convex for $0 < k < 1$,

ii) $F_0(M^n)$ is weakly convex for $k \geq 1$,

then the surfaces $F(M^n, t)$ are strictly convex for all $t > 0$ and they contract for $t \rightarrow T$ to a point in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we compute the evolution equations for several geometric quantities. Using these, we deduce some basic properties of the flow in section 3, which don't depend on the initial surface to be convex, and show the lower bound on the maximal existence time. In section 4 we investigate the case of convex surfaces and prove the second part of the above result.

The author would especially like to thank Professor G. Huisken under whose advice this work was carried out.

2 Evolution Equations

In this section we compute the evolutions of geometric quantities like the induced metric g_{ij} , the second fundamental form h_{ij} or equivalently the Weingarten map $W_p = \{h_j^i\} : T_p M^n \rightarrow T_p M^n$ and the mean curvature $H := h_i^i = g^{ij} h_{ij}$.

Lemma 2.1 *The evolution equations for g_{ij}, ν, h_j^i and $\langle x, \nu \rangle$, where x denotes the position vector and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the euclidian scalar product, are given by*

$$i) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2H^k h_{ij}$$

$$ii) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nu = kH^{k-1} \nabla H$$

$$iii) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_j^i = kH^{k-1} \Delta h_j^i + k(k-1)H^{k-2} \nabla^i H \nabla_j H - (k-1)H^k h_i^i h_j^l + kH^{k-1} |A|^2 h_j^i$$

$$iv) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H = kH^{k-1} \Delta H + k(k-1)H^{k-2} |\nabla H|^2 + |A|^2 H^k$$

$$v) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle x, \nu \rangle = kH^{k-1} \Delta \langle x, \nu \rangle - (k+1)H^k + kH^{k-1} |A|^2 \langle x, \nu \rangle$$

Proof: All of the above follows from a direct calculation as for example in [6] or [1].

Apart from the mean curvature H it will be helpful to also study the elementary symmetric polynomials

$$S_l(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_l} \quad \text{for } \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

of the principal curvatures, and their quotients

$$Q_l(\lambda) = \frac{S_l(\lambda)}{S_{l-1}(\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Gamma_{l-1}$$

where $S_0 \equiv 1$, and $S_l \equiv 0$ if $l > n$; $\Gamma_l := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid S_1(\lambda) > 0, \dots, S_l(\lambda) > 0\}$. We list here some of their properties, and compute their evolution equations. We denote with $S_{l,i}(\lambda)$ the sum of all terms in S_l not containing the factor λ_i .

Lemma 2.2 *For all $l \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_{l+1}}{\partial \lambda_i}(\lambda) &= S_{l,i}(\lambda), \\ S_{l+1}(\lambda) &= S_{l+1,i}(\lambda) + \lambda_i S_{l,i}(\lambda), \\ \sum_{i=1}^n S_{l,i}(\lambda) &= (n-l)S_l(\lambda), \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_{l,i}(\lambda) &= (l+1)S_{l+1}(\lambda), \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 S_{l,i}(\lambda) &= S_1(\lambda)S_{l+1}(\lambda) - (l+2)S_{l+2}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3

- i) Q_{l+1} is concave on Γ_l for $l \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.
- ii) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} Q_l(\lambda) > 0$ on Γ_l for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $l \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

For proofs of the last two lemmas see for example [7]. The quotients Q_l satisfy the following evolution equation:

Lemma 2.4 For $k \geq 1$ let $F : M^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an H^k -flow with

$$S_{l-1}(p, t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } (p, t) \in M^n \times [0, T) ,$$

such that Q_l is well-defined. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_l \geq kH^{k-1} \Delta Q_l + H^{k-1} (k|A|^2 - l(k-1)HQ_l) Q_l . \quad (1)$$

Proof: Using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_l = \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial h_j^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_j^i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta Q_l = \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial b_j^i} \Delta h_j^i + \frac{\partial^2 Q_l}{\partial h_q^p \partial h_m^l} \nabla^v h_q^p \nabla_v h_m^l$$

as well as the evolution equation for h_j^i , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_l &= kH^{k-1} \Delta Q_l - kH^{k-1} \frac{\partial^2 Q_l}{\partial h_q^p \partial h_m^l} \nabla^v h_q^p \nabla_v h_m^l + k(k-1)H^{k-2} \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial h_j^i} \nabla^i H \nabla_j H \\ &\quad - (k-1)H^k \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial h_j^i} h_j^i h_j^l + kH^{k-1} |A|^2 \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial h_j^i} h_j^i . \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of Lemma 2.2 the last two terms can be simplified.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial h_j^i} h_j^i &= \sum_m \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial \lambda_m} \lambda_m = \frac{1}{S_{l-1}^2} (S_{l-1} \sum_i S_{l-1,i} \lambda_i - S_l \sum_i S_{l-2,i} \lambda_i) = Q_l \\ \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial h_j^i} h_j^i h_j^l &= \sum_m \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial \lambda_m} \lambda_m^2 = \frac{1}{S_{l-1}^2} (S_{l-1} \sum_i S_{l-1,i} \lambda_i^2 - S_l \sum_i S_{l-2,i} \lambda_i^2) \\ &= -(l+1) \frac{S_{l+1}}{S_{l-1}} + lQ_l^2 . \end{aligned}$$

The monotonicity and the concavity of the Q_l 's give the desired inequality . \square

If the surfaces M_t are strictly convex we can also study the inverse $W_p^{-1} = \{b_j^i\} : T_p M^n \rightarrow T_p M^n$ of the Weingarten map W , i.e. $b_j^i h_j^l = \delta_j^i$. We obtain:

Lemma 2.5 *Let $k > 0$ and M_t be a flow of strictly convex surfaces. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} b_j^i &= kH^{k-1} \Delta b_j^i - 2kH^{k-1} h_m^l \nabla_p b_l^i \nabla^p b_j^m - k(k-1)H^{k-2} (b_l^i \nabla_l H) (b_j^m \nabla_m H) \\ &\quad + (k-1)H^k \delta_j^i - kH^{k-1} b_j^i |A|^2 \\ &\leq kH^{k-1} \Delta b_j^i + (k-1)H^k \delta_j^i - kH^{k-1} b_j^i |A|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Using $b_l^i h_j^l = \delta_j^i$ we get $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} b_j^i = -b_p^i b_j^q \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_q^p$, and $\nabla_l b_j^i = -b_p^i b_j^q \nabla_l h_q^p$, which leads to

$$\Delta b_j^i = -b_l^i b_j^m \Delta h_m^l + 2h_m^l \nabla_p b_l^i \nabla^p b_j^m .$$

Together with Lemma 2.1, this proves the first equality. For $k \geq 1$ the inequality follows immediately. To show that also for $0 < k < 1$ the gradient terms have the desired sign, we have to work a bit more. We want to use the fact that we can write $H^k(\lambda) = (Q_n^k(\kappa))^{-1}$, where the κ_i are the principle radii, i.e. $\kappa_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$. For general functions f, g satisfying $f(h_j^i) = 1/g(b_j^i)$ one can now compute that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial h_j^i \partial h_q^p} = \frac{2}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial h_j^i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial h_q^p} - \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial b_n^m \partial b_l^k} b^{mq} b_{np} b^{jk} b_{il} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial h^{ip}} b^{jq} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial h_{jq}} b_{ip} .$$

Applying this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k(k-1)H^{k-2} \delta_l^m \delta_p^q &= \frac{\partial^2 (H^k(\lambda))}{\partial h_l^m \partial h_p^q} = 2k^2 H^{k-2} \delta_l^m \delta_p^q - H^{2k} \frac{\partial^2 (Q_n^k)}{\partial b_o^n \partial b_s^r} b^{nq} b_{op} b^{rm} b_{sl} \\ &\quad - kH^{k-1} \delta_{lp} b^{mq} - kH^{k-1} \delta^{mq} b_{lp} , \end{aligned}$$

and by multiplication with $\nabla^v h_l^m \nabla_w h_p^q$ and summation

$$\begin{aligned} k(k-1)H^{k-2} \nabla^v H \nabla_w H &= 2k^2 H^{k-2} \nabla^v H \nabla_w H \\ &\quad - H^{2k} \frac{\partial^2 (Q_n^k)}{\partial b_o^n \partial b_s^r} (b^{nq} b_{op} \nabla_w h_q^p) (b^{rm} b_{ls} \nabla^v h_m^l) \\ &\quad - 2kH^{k-1} b^{mq} \nabla_w h_q^p \nabla^v h_{pm} . \end{aligned}$$

Using the Codazzi-equations and the concavity of $Q_n^k(\kappa)$ for $0 < k \leq 1$, this leads to

$$-k(k+1)H^{k-2} \nabla^v H \nabla_w H \geq -2kH^{k-1} b^{mq} \nabla_p h_m^v \nabla^p h_{qw} ,$$

which finally gives

$$-k(k-1)H^{k-1} (b_v^i \nabla^v H) (b_j^w \nabla_w H) \leq 2kH^{k-1} h_m^l \nabla_p b_l^i \nabla^p b_j^m .$$

□

3 Basic Properties

We now want to show short-time existence for the initial value problem (\star_{H^k}) , $k > 0$, and study the question of longtime existence. In order to obtain these results, it suffices to demand that the initial surface be only strictly mean convex rather than convex. Hence we require only that $F_0 : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a smooth, orientable and closed hypersurface with a choice of a normal vector field, such that the initial mean curvature satisfies $H_0(p) > \delta > 0$ for all $p \in M^n$, for some fixed δ . This implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} H_0^k(\lambda(p)) > 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad p \in M^n,$$

which gives with the aid of [8]:

Lemma 3.1 *If the mean curvature of M_0 is strictly positive, then (\star_{H^k}) has a unique solution $F : M^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, at least for a small $T > 0$.*

Example 3.2 For the evolution of a sphere with radius R_0 we obtain that

$$R(t) = \left(R_0^{k+1} - (1+k)n^k \cdot t \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}},$$

which implies a maximal existence time $T = \frac{R_0^{k+1}}{n^k(1+k)}$.

The evolution equation for H and the maximum principle guarantee that the minimum of H is increasing, i.e. we don't lose the uniform parabolicity of our equation.

Proposition 3.3

$$H_{\min}(t) \geq H_{\min}(0) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k+1}{n} H_{\min}^{k+1}(0) \cdot t \right)^{-\frac{1}{k+1}},$$

which gives an upper bound on the maximal existence time:

$$T_{\max} \leq \frac{n}{k+1} H_{\min}^{-(k+1)}(0).$$

Proof: We apply the inequality $|A|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} H^2$ to the evolution equation of H . The estimate then follows immediately by comparison with the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi = \frac{1}{n} \varphi^{k+2}; \quad \varphi(0) = H_{\min}(0).$$

□

Remark 3.4 (i) The fact that the inequality $H \geq \delta$ is maintained under the evolution implies by the strict elliptic maximum principle that an initially embedded hypersurface stays embedded.

(ii) By the strict parabolic maximum principle, as for the mean curvature flow, we have an avoidance principle. If two closed initial hypersurfaces M_1 and M_2 are disjoint, then they remain so under the flow. Applying this principle to a big enclosing sphere, we have another upper bound on the maximal existence time.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $[0, T)$ be the maximal existence interval of the H^k -flow M_t , and $T < \infty$, as well as $H(\cdot, 0) \geq \delta_0 > 0$. Then $\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \max_{M_t} |A|^2 = +\infty$.*

Proof: We want to show that under the assumption $\max_{M_t} |A|^2 \leq C$, the surfaces M_t converge to a smooth limiting surface M_T . Since $H_{\min}(t)$ is increasing, we have $H_{\min}(T) > 0$, and by the shorttime existence we get a contradiction to the maximality of T .

Assume that $\max_{M_t} |A| \leq C$ for $0 \leq t < T$. Using the evolution equation, and the upper bound for H , we can show by integration that

$$|F(p, t_1) - F(p, t_2)| \leq C|t_1 - t_2|,$$

which implies the convergence of $F(\cdot, t)$ to a continuous limiting surface $F(\cdot, T)$. By the bound on $|A|$ the convergence is in C^1 .

For $k \leq 1$ the speed H^k is concave in h_j^i . Together with the uniform C^2 -bounds, the estimates of Krylov [9], Theorem 2, Chapter 5.5, are applicable and render uniform $C^{2,\alpha}$ -bounds. For $k > 1$ we study the flow locally, i.e. given by a height function u which satisfies the parabolic PDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}^{1-k} \left(\left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{D_i u D_j u}{1 + |Du|^2} \right) D_{ij} u \right)^k. \quad (2)$$

This operator is not concave in the second derivatives of u . With the bound on $|A|$ we can nevertheless check that the conditions for Theorem 2, chapter 5.3 in [9] are satisfied, which leads to uniform Hölder-estimates in space and time for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u$. Theorem 4 in chapter 5.2 then gives similar Hölder-estimates for Du . Because of $H(\cdot, t) \geq \delta$ and equation (2) this implies that

$$v := \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}^{1-k} \quad \text{and} \quad w := \left(\left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{D_i u D_j u}{1 + |Du|^2} \right) D_{ij} u \right)^{k-1}$$

are also uniformly Hölder-continuous in space and time. Using this, we can write (2) as a linear, strictly parabolic PDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - a^{ij} D_{ij} u = 0$$

with coefficients a^{ij} in C^β in space and time. The interior Schauder estimates, see for example [10], lead to $C^{2,\beta}$ -bounds. In both cases, i.e. $k \leq 1$ and $k > 1$, using again parabolic Schauder estimates, we get a bound on all the higher C^l -norms. \square

Remark 3.6 $C^{2,\alpha}$ -bounds up to the initial Hypersurface M_0 also follow from the results of [9]. They depend only on the bound on $|A|$ and the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -norm of M_0 .

To show the lower bound on the maximal existence time, i.e. to control the second fundamental form, we will have to study the evolution of $\lambda_{\min}(t) := \min_{M_t} \lambda_i$. To do this, we define a smooth approximation \tilde{u} to $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as follows. For $\delta > 0$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_2(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{2}\right)^2 + \delta^2} \\ \tilde{u}_{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{u}_2(x_i, \tilde{u}_n(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1})), \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

This approximation has the following properties.

Lemma 3.7 For $\delta > 0$ and $n \geq 2$,

- (i) $\tilde{u}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is smooth, symmetric, monotonically increasing and convex.
- (ii) $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_i} \leq 1$
- (iii) $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \tilde{u}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \max(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (n-1)\delta$
- (iv) $\tilde{u}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - (n-1)\delta \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_i} \cdot x_i \leq \tilde{u}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- (v) $\sum_i \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial x_i} = 1$.

Proof: Direct computation and induction.

Lemma 3.8 Let $F : M^n \times [0, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an H^k -flow, $k \geq 1$, and $0 < H \leq C$ on $M^n \times [0, \tau)$. For $\lambda_{\min}(t) := \min_{M_t} \lambda_i(p)$, we have that

$$|\lambda_{\min}(t)| \leq \left((\max\{-\lambda_{\min}(0), C\})^{-2} - kC'(n)C^{k-1}t \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

with $C'(n) = 8n((n-1)^2 + 1)$, as long as the right hand side is finite.

Proof: For $\beta_j^i := -h_j^i$ we obtain from Lemma 2.1:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_j^i \leq kH^{k-1} \Delta \beta_j^i + (k-1)H^k \beta_i^i \beta_j^l + kH^{k-1} |A|^2 \beta_j^i.$$

Let $u(\beta_j^i)$ be a smooth approximation to $-\min(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ for a $\delta > 0$, as defined above. Using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_j^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_j^i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \beta_q^p \partial \beta_m^l} \nabla^v \beta_q^p \nabla_v \beta_m^l + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_j^i} \Delta \beta_j^i,$$

as well as the monotonicity of u , it follows that, using Lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u &\leq kH^{k-1} \Delta u - kH^{k-1} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \beta_q^p \partial \beta_m^l} \nabla^v \beta_q^p \nabla_v \beta_m^l \\ &\quad + (k-1)H^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_j^i} \beta_i^i \beta_j^j + kH^{k-1} |A|^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_j^i} \beta_j^i \\ &\leq kH^{k-1} \Delta u + (k-1)H^k |A|^2 + kH^{k-1} |A|^2 u. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H > 0$, we know that $\lambda_{\max}(p) > 0$ and using

$$\lambda_{\max}(p) \leq H - (n-1)\lambda_{\min}(p) \leq H + (n-1)u$$

we can estimate

$$|A|^2 \leq 2n(H^2 + (n-1)^2 u^2) \leq 2n(C^2 + (n-1)^2 u^2). \quad (3)$$

If we assume that $u \geq C$, we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \leq kH^{k-1} \Delta u + 4n((n-1)^2 + 1)kC^{k-1}u^3.$$

The estimate then follows by comparing with the ODE and taking the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proposition 3.9 *Let $F(\cdot, t) : M^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth H^k -flow with $k \geq 1$, where T is assumed to be maximal. Then we have the lower bound*

$$T \geq C(k, n)^{-1} (\max_{p \in M} |A|(p, 0))^{-(k+1)},$$

where $C(k, n) = 8(3k+1)(1+(n-1)^2)n^{(k+3)/2}$.

Proof: Define $\kappa(t) := \max\{-\lambda_{\min}(0), \sup_{M^n \times [0, t]} H(p, \tau)\}$. Using the bound from the preceding Lemma and (3), we obtain for $p \in M^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} |A|^2(p, t) &\leq 2n(\kappa^2(t) + (n-1)^2 \lambda_{\min}^2(t)) \\ &\leq 2n\kappa^2(t) + 2n(n-1)^2 (\kappa(t)^{-2} - kC'(n)\kappa(t)^{k-1}t)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We will now assume that

$$t \leq \frac{\kappa(t)^{-(k+1)}}{2kC'(n)}, \quad (\star)$$

which is true on a maximal time interval $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$, with $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus on this interval the estimate

$$|A|^2(p, t) \leq 2n(1 + 2(n-1)^2)\kappa(t)^2 \leq C'(n)\kappa(t)^2$$

holds. Since $\kappa(t)$ is Lipschitz-continuous in time, we can deduce from the evolution equation for H that for a.e. $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa(t) \leq \max_{M_t} |A|^2(p) \kappa(t)^k \leq C'(n)\kappa(t)^{k+2},$$

which leads to

$$\kappa(t) \leq (\kappa(0)^{-(k+1)} - (k+1)C'(n)t)^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}. \quad (4)$$

We will now show that

$$\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{(3k+1)C'(n)\kappa(0)^{(k+1)}}. \quad (5)$$

Assume the contrary. Using this assumption together with (4), we see that

$$\varepsilon < \frac{1}{(3k+1)C'(n)\kappa(0)^{(k+1)}} \leq \frac{\kappa(\varepsilon)^{-(k+1)}}{2kC'(n)},$$

which is a contradiction to the maximality of ε . Thus (5) holds, which gives with (4):

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{M_t} H(p, t) &\leq \kappa(t) \leq \left(\frac{3k+1}{2k}\right)^{1/(k+1)} \kappa(0), \\ \max_{M_t} |A|^2(p, t) &\leq C'(n) \left(\frac{3k+1}{2k}\right)^{2/(k+1)} \kappa(0)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \leq ((3k+1)C'(n)\kappa(0)^{(k+1)})^{-1}$. Together with Theorem 3.5 we have thus proven the desired lower bound on the maximal existence time:

$$T_{\max} \geq \frac{1}{(3k+1)C'(n)} (\kappa(0))^{-(k+1)} \geq C(k, n)^{-1} (\max_{p \in M} |A|(p, 0))^{-(k+1)},$$

where $C(k, n) = 8(3k+1)(1+(n-1)^2)n^{(k+3)/2}$. □

4 Convex Surfaces

In this last section we will investigate the H^k -flow of convex surfaces. We will show that convex surfaces stay convex and that in the case $k \geq 1$ they immediately become strictly convex. We will complete the proof of the main theorem by showing that the surfaces contract to a point.

To show that convexity is preserved we again study the evolution of

$$\lambda_{\min}(t) := \min_{M_t} \lambda_i.$$

Lemma 4.1 *Let $F_0(M^n)$ be strictly convex and let $F : M^n \times [0, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a H^k -flow, $k > 0$. Then all M_t , $t \in [0, \tau)$ are strictly convex and $\lambda_{\min}(t)$ is monotonically increasing.*

Proof: Heuristically one can see the monotonicity of $\lambda_{\min}(t)$ for $k \geq 1$ as follows. Assume in the evolution equation of the Weingarten map, Lemma 2.1 *iii*), that we could diagonalize h_j^i and could study the evolution of $\lambda_{\min}(p, t)$ separately. We would obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{\min}(p, t) \geq kH^{k-1} \Delta \lambda_{\min}(p, t) - (k-1)H^k \lambda_{\min}^2(p, t) + kH^{k-1} |A|^2 \lambda_{\min}(p, t) .$$

If we estimate $|A|^2 \geq H \lambda_{\min}(p, t)$ we see that the lowest order terms are nonnegative, and the maximum principle would give the desired result. Since the minimal principal curvature is not a smooth function and for $0 < k < 1$ the gradient terms have the wrong sign, we have to work a little bit more.

For a fixed $\delta > 0$ we choose a smooth approximation $u(b_j^i) := \tilde{u}_n(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ to $\max(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$, as defined in Lemma 3.7, where the κ_i are the eigenvalues of b_j^i , i.e. $\kappa_i = 1/\lambda_i$. Using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial b_j^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} b_j^i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial b_j^i} \Delta b_j^i + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial b_q^p \partial b_m^l} \nabla^v b_q^p \nabla_v b_m^l$$

as well as the monotonicity of u and Lemma 2.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u &\leq kH^{k-1} \Delta u - kH^{k-1} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial b_q^p \partial b_m^l} \nabla^v b_q^p \nabla_v b_m^l \\ &\quad + (k-1)H^k \text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial b_j^i} \right) - kH^{k-1} |A|^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial b_j^i} b_j^i . \end{aligned}$$

Applying the properties of \tilde{u}_n listed above this leads to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \leq kH^{k-1} \Delta u + (k-1)H^k - kH^{k-1} |A|^2 (u - (n-1)\delta) .$$

At a point (p, t) with $u > (n-1)k\delta$ we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(p, t) < kH^{k-1} \Delta u(p, t) + (k-1)H^{k-1} \left(\frac{H}{u} - |A|^2 \right) u(p, t) \leq kH^{k-1} \Delta u ,$$

since

$$\frac{H}{u}(p) \leq \frac{H(p)}{\kappa_{\max}(p)} = H(p) \lambda_{\min}(p) \leq |A|^2(p) .$$

This gives a contradiction if u attains a first new maximum bigger than $(n-1)k\delta$. The limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ then proves the claim. \square

For convex surfaces, i.e. $h_{ij} \geq 0$, the full second fundamental form is controlled by its trace: $|A| \leq H$. Applying this to the evolution equation for H , and comparing with the ODE yields:

Lemma 4.2 *Let $F : M^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an H^k -flow of convex hypersurfaces. Then*

$$|A|(p, t) \leq H(p, t) \leq (H_{\max}(0))^{-(k+1)} - (k+1)t)^{-\frac{1}{k+1}} .$$

Corollary 4.3 *Let $F : M^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an H^k -flow and $F_0(M^n)$ be weakly convex. Then $F(M^n, t)$ is weakly convex for all $t \in [0, T)$ and $T_{\max} \geq \frac{1}{k+1}(H_{\max}(0))^{-(k+1)}$.*

Proof: We approximate the initial surface M_0 smoothly by strictly convex surfaces M_0^i , for example using the mean curvature flow. From these surfaces we start H^k -flows, which by Lemma 4.1 stay convex. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 3.5 we have a uniform lower bound $T_{\max}^i \geq \frac{1}{k+1}(H_{\max}(0))^{-(k+1)}$. Using the uniform $C^{2,\alpha}$ -estimates from proposition 3.5, we can extract a convergent subsequence of convex flows, which proves that the original flow also had to be convex.

For $k \geq 1$ we could have also applied Hamilton's weak maximum principle for 2-Tensors to the evolution equation of h_{ij} to see that $h_{ij} \geq 0$ is conserved. \square

We now use the quotients Q_l to show that for $k \geq 1$ weakly convex surfaces immediately become strictly convex.

Proposition 4.4 *Let $F_0 : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a weakly convex hypersurface with $H(F_0) \geq \delta > 0$, and $F : M^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the corresponding H^k -flow with $k \geq 1$. Then M_t is strictly convex for all $t \in (0, T)$.*

Proof: Since $H(p, t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, T)$, Q_2 is well-defined and non-negative. Because of the bounds on $|A|^2$ for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$,

$$|H^{k-1}(k|A|^2 - 2(k-1)HQ_2)| \leq C$$

on this interval. Lemma 2.4 now says that for $v := e^{Ct}Q_2$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v \geq kH^{k-1}\Delta v .$$

If we assume that there exists $(p_0, t_0) \in M^n \times (0, \varepsilon)$ with $Q_2(p_0, t_0) = 0$, then also $v(p_0, t_0) = 0$. Using the Harnack-inequality in the parabolic case (see i.e. [10]) and the equation above we see that $v \equiv 0$ for all $t \in (0, t_0)$ which gives $Q_2 \equiv 0$ for all $t \in (0, t_0)$. This is in contradiction to the existence of strictly convex points on M_t , and so $Q_2 > 0$ on $M^n \times (0, T)$. We can iterate this to obtain $Q_n > 0$ on $M^n \times (0, T)$. \square

The last step towards the proof of Theorem 1.1 is that the flow exists as long as it bounds a non-vanishing volume. Similar estimates, using a lower bound on the support function, were obtained by Tso [11] for the Gauss curvature flow and Andrews [1] for a general class of speeds.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $F : M^n \times [0, \tau)$ be an H^k -flow of convex surfaces and for $\delta > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let $B_\delta(x_0) \subset V_t$ for all $t \in [0, \tau)$, where V_t is the volume bounded by M_t . Then*

$$H(p, t) \leq C(F_0(M^n), \delta, k, n) \quad \text{for all } (p, t) \in M^n \times [0, \tau) .$$

Proof: Let $X := x - x_0$ be the position vector field with origin in x_0 . Since all the M_t are convex, there is an $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha = \alpha(\delta)$, such that

$$\langle X, \nu \rangle - \alpha \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} > 0 \quad \text{for all } (p, t) \in M^n \times [0, \tau) .$$

So $v := H^k / (\langle X, \nu \rangle - \alpha)$ is well defined, and satisfies the evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v &= kH^{k-1} \Delta v + \frac{2k}{H} v \nabla^i \langle X, \nu \rangle \nabla_i v + (k+1)v^2 - \alpha k \frac{|A|^2}{H} v^2 \\ &\leq kH^{k-1} \Delta v + \frac{2k}{H} v \nabla^i \langle X, \nu \rangle \nabla_i v + \left((k+1) - \frac{\alpha k}{n} H \right) v^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Assume that in (p_0, t_0) , v attains a big maximum $C \gg 0$ for the first time. Then

$$H^k(p_0, t_0) \geq C(\langle X, \nu \rangle - \alpha)(p_0, t_0) \geq \frac{\alpha C}{2} ,$$

which gives a contradiction if

$$C \geq \max \left\{ \max_{p \in M} v(p, 0), \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{n(k+1)}{\alpha k} \right)^k \right\} .$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The preceding Lemma and Proposition 3.5 guarantee that the flow exists as long as it bounds a non-vanishing volume. Because of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.4 all the surfaces are strictly convex for $t > 0$. What's more, $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \lambda_{\min}(t) \geq \delta > 0$.

Let us assume that there exist two distinct points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $x, y \in V_t$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, V_t denoting the volume bounded by M_t . Then every 2-dimensional plane Z through x and y intersects M_t transversally in regular curves c_t^Z . Since $\lambda_{\min}(t) > \frac{\delta}{2}$ there also exists a lower bound $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ on the curvature of the curves c_t^Z . Let $I_t := Z \cap V_t$. Since $\mathcal{H}^n(V_t) \rightarrow 0$, there exists a Z with $\mathcal{H}^2(I_t) \rightarrow 0$. But this contradicts that $x, y \in I_t$ and that the curvature of the curves c_t^Z is uniformly bounded from below. □

References

- [1] B. Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidian space*, Calc. Var. **2** (1994), 151–171.
- [2] ———, *Motion of hypersurfaces by Gauss curvature*, Pacific J. Math **195** (2000), no. 1, 1–36.
- [3] B. Chow, *Deforming convex hypersurfaces by the n th root of the Gaussian curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **22** (1985), 117–138.
- [4] ———, *Deforming hypersurfaces by the square root of the scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 63–82.
- [5] Claus Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314.
- [6] G. Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Diff. Geom. **20** (1984), 237–266.
- [7] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces*, Acta Math. **183** (1999), no. 1, 45–70.
- [8] Gerhard Huisken and Alexander Polden, *Geometric evolution equations for hypersurfaces*, Calculus of variations and geometric evolution problems (Cetraro, 1996), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1713, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 45–84.
- [9] N. V. Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of second order*, D.Reidel, 1978.
- [10] G. M. Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific, 1996.
- [11] K. Tso, *Deforming a hypersurface by its Gauss-Kronecker curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 867–882.
- [12] John I. E. Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), no. 3, 355–372.
- [13] ———, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 1, 91–125.